## **CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

APR 2.7 2007

	FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET
To: Examiner Nobahar	FROM: Shawna J. Shaw
COMPANY:	DATE: 4/27/2007
FAX NUMBER:	TOTAL NO. OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER:
PHONE NUMBER: (571) 228-2938	SENDER'S REFERENCE NUMBER:
11/239,046 and 09/9	YOUR REFERENCE NUMBER- 40,635
URGENT FOR REVI	EW  PLEASE COMMENT  PLEASE REPLY  PLEASE RECYCLE
NOTES/COMMENTS:	
The applicants' would like to 04/25/2007. Attached are or representative.	o again thank Examiner Nobahar for granting a personal interview on opies of the Interview Summary Forms (PTOL-413) signed by the applicants'

Respectfully Submitted,

Strawna J. Spaw Agent for Applicants Registration No. 57,091 (571) 228-2938

7 2007

INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS IN FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTrequirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	ERVIEW. See Summary of	LYCCYCL OI MICHAESA		
FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INT	ERVIEW. See Summary of	LYCCYCLO DI MICHARSAN		
FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INT	ERVIEW. See Summary of	LYCCYCLO DI MICHARSAN		
FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INT	ERVIEW. See Summary of	LYCCYCLO DI HINCHARSAN	·   	
FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INT	ERVIEW. See Summary of	LICERCIA OF MICHAESA	1	
FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INT	ERVIEW. See Summary of	LIGHTARIA DI HIMMARIA		
FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INT	ERVIEW. See Summary of	LOCOLO DI ILIUSTARSIA		
FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INT	ERVIEW. See Summary of	LYCHARIO OI HINDIAISAN		
	ITERVIEW SUMMARY FOR	M. WHICHEVER IS	LATER, TO	
INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGE	ne last Office action has aire: R OF ONE MONTH OP THE	edy been filed, APP	LICANT IS	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE	ACTION MUST INCLUDE T	HE SUBSTANCE O	)F THE	
allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attact	ed.)			
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no	copy of the amendments the	at would render the	claims	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame	ndments which the examiner	acreed would rend	er the claims	
reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Shee	<u>∗t</u> .			
Substance of interview including description of the gener	ral nature of what was agree	d to if an agreement	was	
	/-			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached.	g) was not reached. h)	□N/A.		
Identification of prior art discussed: 2002/0188481 A1.		•		
Ckaim(s) discussed: Proposed amended claims 15, 16 a	<u>nd 17.</u>		•	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊠ applicant	2) applicant's represent	าเพย		
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference		449	•	
Date of Interview: <u>25 April 2007</u> .				
(2) Mr. Taghi Arani, Primary examiner. (4)Mr. Nader Asghari-Kamrani, Applica		Kamrani Annlicant	APR 2	
(1) <u>Abdulhakim Nobahar</u> .	(3)Ms. Shawna Shaw.	Applicants agent.	CENTRAL	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
			REC	
	Abduthakim Nobahar	2132		
interview Juliniary	Examiner	Art Unit	7	
Inforviow Summen	09/940.635	ASGHARIKAM	IRANI ET AI	
Interview Summary		( Applicant(s)		

V.8. Potent and Trademark Offic PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Interview Summary

Paper No. 20070425

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413)

US PTO WG 2780

Application No. 11/239,046

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant, Mr. Asghari-Kamrani, first epiained his background experties in the field of secure electronic commerce. He explianed the today's need of secure online financial transactions to a system that positively and securely authenticates an online buyer and authorizes the buyer for a transaction. He presented some examples, such as, current online banking systems of Bank of America and Wachovia and the system of Amazon.com online shopping which all tack sufficient security to prevent unauthorized users impersonation for fraudulent uses.

Applicant proposed an amendment to claims, in which each newly amended claims 3, 20 and 23 contains the limitations of one previously presented independent claim and one dependent claim.

Mr. Arani, referring to the claim 3, asked applicant to explain that how can receiveing a digital ID by a user from a financial institution and identity verification of that user are processed securely avoiding fraudulent activities.

Applicant answered by describing that through an established special relationship between the user and its financial institution (i.e., a bank), a unique time-dependent digital ID (DID) is created for the user. The user presents the DID received from its financial institution to an online merchant for a financial transaction to be authenticated and authorized for the transaction. Applicant further explained that using the unique DID for online transactions is different from using a digital certificate. Because using a digital certificate, a user can be only authenticated for a transaction not authorized. Additionally the user needs to install a software on his computer system in order to use a digital certificate for online shopping or benking.

Mr. Arani pointed out that the claim 3 recites "whereby the digital identity...submitted by the originator to the receiver as authentication of identity end/or authorization of the transaction..."

Applicant agreed to amend the claim recite "whereby the digital identity...submitted by the originator to the receiver as authentication of identity and authorization of the transaction..." and file a new amendment accordingly.

Examiner Nobehar agreed to examine the claims upon receiving in light of the new amenment.

## Best Available Copy

**CENTRAL FAX CENTER** 

US PTO WG 2780

APR 2 7 2007

**2001** 

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Interview Summary	11/239,046	ASGHARHKAMRANI ET AL.			
mon river watering	Examiner	Art Unit			
:	Abdulhakim Nobehar	2132			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) Abdulhakim Nobahar. (3)Ms. Shawna Shaw, Applicants' agent.					
(2) Mr. Taghi Arani, Primary examiner.	ni. Primary examiner. (4)Mr. Nader Asghari-Kamrani. Applicant.				
Date of Interview: <u>25 April 2007</u> .					
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representative	]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No, If Yes, brief description:					
Claim(s) discussed: 3.					
Identification of prior art discussed: 6.529,885 B1.					
Agreement with respect to the claims f)□ was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an egreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Street.</u>					
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)					
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See IMPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
:					
<u>.</u>					
ī					
	•				
	Sel				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signed	Marine if required			
	*	*			

U.S. Palent and Tradement Office PTOL-412 (Rev. 04-03)

Interview Summary

Paper No. Applica20078426

Cardanetta Charles (USBUDDQU)

US PIV WG 2780

Continuation Sheet (PTQL-413)

Application No. 09/940,635

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant, mr. Asgharl-Kamrani, proposed a new set of amended claims and pointed out that the newly amended independent claims 15-17 do not contain new matters and each of them recite limitations that are combination of limitations of a previously presented independent claim plus the limitations of two dependent claims. Furthermore, applicant explained that each newly amended independent claim contains a limitation that recites "wherein the financial institution is a bank" that the prior art Berg (2002/0188481 A1) does not teach.

Examiner, Nobahar, referred applicants to the paragraph [0006] of Berg, where it recites "The JV Authority can be a business information provider, such as, a provider of business, financial and/or quality assurance information, and/or a joint venture between an insurance underwriter and a business information provider. The business information provider can be, for example, Dun & Bradstreet."

Applicant disagreed and asserted that the type of joint venture mentioned in prior art Berg is different from a bank recited by the independent claims of his invention. Thus, the prior art Berg fails to read on the above-mentioned limitation of the amended independent claims.

Examiner Nobehar agreed with the applicant assertion and also agreed to consider the newly amended claims for examination after applicant files them as new amendment officially.